UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE GREENEVILLE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)	
Plaintiff,)))	2:23-CR-00018-DCLC-CRW
v.)	
REBECCA RENEE MACNEIL,)	
Defendant)	

MEMORANDUM OPINION

Before the Court is Defendant's *pro se* Motion to Reduce Sentence under United States Sentencing Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 29]. Pursuant to Standing Order 24-05, Federal Defender Services of Eastern Tennessee has filed a notice that it does not intend to file a supplemental motion at this time [Doc. 30] and the Government responded in opposition [Doc. 31].

I. BACKGROUND

On February 15, 2023, Defendant pleaded guilty to one count of possession with intent to distribute fifty grams or more of methamphetamine [See Docs. 2, 5]. Based on a total offense level of 23 and a criminal history category of I, Defendant's guideline range was 46 to 57 months [Doc. 10, ¶74]. The Court sentenced Defendant below the guideline range to a term of imprisonment of 37 months [Doc. 27, pg. 2]. Defendant is currently housed at FCI Tallahassee with a projected release date of December 24, 2025. See Bureau of Prisons, https://www.bop.gov/inmateloc/ (last visited May 10, 2024). She now seeks a sentence reduction pursuant to Guideline Amendment 821 [Doc. 29].

II. ANALYSIS

"A district court may modify a defendant's sentence only as provided by statute." *United States v. Johnson*, 564 F.3d 419, 421 (6th Cir. 2009) (citation omitted). Relevant here, 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) authorizes a court to impose a sentence reduction when a defendant "has been sentenced to a term of imprisonment based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission . . . after considering the factors set forth in [18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)] to the extent that they are applicable, if such a reduction is consistent with applicable policy statements issued by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

Amendment 821, which took effect on November 1, 2023, altered the application of the guidelines with respect to offenders who earned criminal history "status" points based on the commission of an offense while serving a criminal justice sentence or offenders with zero criminal history points at the time of sentencing ("zero-point offenders"). Composed of two parts, Amendment 821 amended U.S.S.G. § 4A1.1 to reduce or eliminate status points and created U.S.S.G. § 4C1.1 to reduce the offense level for zero-point offenders by two levels. Pursuant to § 4A1.1, status points are eliminated for defendants with six or fewer criminal history points, and one status point, rather than two, are applied for defendants with more than six criminal history points.

Defendant is a zero-point offender and satisfies the requirements of § 4C1.1 for a two-level reduction of her offense level. An adjusted total offense level of 21 and a criminal history category of I results in an amended guideline range of 37 to 46 months. Defendant's existing sentence is already at the bottom of the amended guideline range, and she did not originally receive a belowguidelines sentence based upon a qualifying governmental motion. *See* U.S.S.G. § 1B1.10(b)(2). Accordingly, she is ineligible for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2).

III. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated herein, Defendant's motion [Doc. 29] is **DENIED**.

SO ORDERED:

s/ Clifton L. Corker

United States District Judge